S.B. Cr. Revision Petition No.295/2006

Rakesh Dangi & Ors.
vs
The State of Rajasthan & Anr.

DATE OF ORDER : 6.2.2007

HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI G.S. SARRAF

Shri Sandeep Mehta, for the petitioner.
Shri S.N. Tiwari, Public Prosecutor.
Shri MS Panwar, for the respondents no.2 and 3.

<><><>

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Public Prosecutor and learned counsel for the respondent no. 2.

There is no prima facie proof of the fact that the signatures on attestation of the photocopy of statement of marks of Second Year Commerce, Year 2001 of Dinesh Kumar Paliwal are that of the respondent no.2. The evidence available on record does not establish a prima facie case against the respondent no.2 under Sections 420 and 120B IPC.

I have perused the order dated 12.1.2006 passed by the learned Addl. Sessions Judge (Fast Track), Rajsamand very carefully. It is a reasoned order and the learned Addl. Sessions Judge (Fast Track), Rajsamand has critically examined the

evidence available on record. There is no ground to take a different view in the matter.

The revision petition, therefore, stands dismissed at admission stage.

(G.S. SARRAF), J.

cpgoyal/-